

# Metastable resting state brain dynamics

Peter beim Graben<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Jimenez-Marin<sup>2</sup>, Ibai Diez<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Jesus M. Cortes<sup>2,6,7</sup>, Mathieu Desroches<sup>8,9</sup> and Serafim Rodrigues<sup>6,10,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Communication Engineering, Institute of Electrical Engineering and Information Science, Brandenburg University of Technology (BTU) Cottbus – Senftenberg, Cottbus, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Computational Neuroimaging Lab, BioCruces-Bizkaia Health Research Institute. Barakaldo, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Gordon Center for Medical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (United States)

<sup>4</sup>Neurology Department. Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (United States)

<sup>5</sup>Neurotechnology Laboratory, Tecnalia Health Department, Derio (Spain)

<sup>6</sup>Ikerbasque: The Basque Foundation for Science. Bilbao, Spain

<sup>7</sup>Department of Cell Biology and Histology. University of the Basque Country. Leioa, Spain

<sup>8</sup>MathNeuro Team, Inria Sophia Antipolis Méditerranée, France

<sup>9</sup>Université Côte d’Azur, Nice, France

<sup>10</sup>Mathematical, Computational and Experimental Neuroscience (MCEN), Basque Center for Applied Mathematics, Bilbao, Spain

Correspondence\*:

Serafim Rodrigues

srodrigues@bcamath.org

## 2 ABSTRACT

Metastability refers to the fact that the state of a dynamical system spends a large amount of time in a restricted region of its available phase space before a transition takes place, bringing the system into another state from where it might recur into the previous one. Beim Graben and Hutt [26] suggested to use the recurrence plot (RP) technique introduced by Eckmann et al. [37] for the segmentation of system’s trajectories into metastable states using recurrence grammars. Here, we apply this recurrence structure analysis (RSA) for the first time to resting-state brain dynamics obtained from functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Brain regions are defined according to the brain hierarchical atlas (BHA) developed by Diez et al. [4], and as a consequence, regions present high-connectivity in both structure (obtained from diffusion tensor imaging) and function (from the blood-level dependent-oxygenation —BOLD— signal). Remarkably, regions observed by Diez et al. were completely time-invariant. Here, in order to compare this static picture with the metastable systems dynamics obtained from the RSA segmentation, we determine the number of metastable states as a measure of complexity for all subjects and for region numbers varying from 3 to 100. We find RSA convergence towards an optimal segmentation of 40 metastable states for normalized BOLD signals, averaged over BHA modules. Next, we build a bistable dynamics at population level by pooling 30 subjects after Hausdorff clustering. In link with this finding, we reflect on the different modeling frameworks that can allow for such scenarios: heteroclinic dynamics, dynamics with riddled basins of attraction, multiple-timescale dynamics. Finally, we characterize the metastable states both functionally and structurally, using templates for resting state networks (RSNs) and the automated anatomical labeling (AAL) atlas, respectively.

24 **Keywords:** Resting State; Recurrence Structure Analysis; Metastability; BOLD fMRI; Diffusion Tensor Imaging; Brain Hierarchical  
 25 Atlas

## 1 INTRODUCTION

26 Mapping the brain's functional-structural relationship remains one of the most complex challenges in  
 27 modern neuroscience [1], in part due to the highly dynamic multi-scale nature of the brain's processes and  
 28 structures as observed by different measurement modalities, which leads to technical and mathematical  
 29 difficulties for establishing dynamically invariant relations across scales. As a result, which precise function  
 30 emerges at the macro-scale (as measured by BOLD signals) from the underlying static neuronal architecture  
 31 is not yet fully understood. In fact, this rests on a many-to-one function-structure relationship, which is  
 32 hard to resolve and therefore novel methodologies are demanded. The present study addresses aspects  
 33 of this macro-scale question by leveraging on recent developments of novel data-driven computational  
 34 methods, which weeds out recurrent dynamical states from times series and associates to optimal brain  
 35 structures, thus resolving the function-structure of the so-called resting state networks (RSNs) [2, 3, 4, 5].

36 Several methodological advances are making strides in unveiling the macro-scale organization of the  
 37 brain, comprising both hierarchical brain network structures and functions. These methods classify brain's  
 38 macro-scale organization under the following terms: *structural connectivity* (resolved from diffusion  
 39 tensor imaging techniques — DTI), *functional connectivity* (determined by statistical dependencies from  
 40 BOLD signals) and *effective connectivity* (deduced by causality measures from BOLD signals). The  
 41 neural structures and patterns of dynamical similarity are represented by e.g. the connectivity matrices.  
 42 Specifically, for *structural connectivity*, *functional connectivity* and *effective connectivity* the entries of  
 43 the network's connectivity matrix indicate the anatomical links (white-matter tracts connecting different  
 44 gray matter regions), the correlation strength and the causal strength between pairs of imaging regions  
 45 of interest, respectively [6]. Subsequently, features of this matrix can be exploited by using for example  
 46 standard tools from linear algebra that rely upon spectral analysis (e.g. invariants such as eigenvalues)  
 47 and other related/complementary methods, such as independent component analysis (ICA) [7], partial  
 48 least squares (PLS) [8], and many more. These procedures extract meaningful and independent quantities  
 49 and thus decompose features within the connectivity matrix. However, mapping between these different  
 50 matrices and additionally accounting for temporal dynamics is of paramount interest and an active research  
 51 area in brain mapping.

52 To reduce the complexity of this issue, neuroscientists have primarily focused on a precise context  
 53 provided by the RSNs. This global brain dynamics (measured from the observable fMRI) emerges while  
 54 a subject is at rest and can be decomposed as a superposition of multiple activation patterns [9, 10, 11].  
 55 Despite the simplicity of the context in which these brain activity patterns are generated, RSNs dynamics  
 56 is rich and complex. Indeed, different RSNs have been associated to specific cognitive networks, for  
 57 example, visual networks, sensory-motor networks, auditory networks, memory (default mode) networks,  
 58 executive control networks, and some others (see for instance [12] and references therein). This has led to  
 59 the hypothesis that underlying such activation patterns (often recurring) is the existence of stable switching  
 60 attractors that enhance information maintenance and facilitates cognitive transitions [13, 14, 15]. Moreover,  
 61 it is observed that the base resting state network is well conserved across subjects [16].

62 The mapping between structural connectivity and functional connectivity of RSNs (without considering  
 63 temporal dynamics) was recently investigated by some authors of the present study and, as a by-product, a  
 64 novel brain hierarchical atlas was identified [4]. This was achieved by starting from the hypothesis that

segregated functions are associated with distinct brain regions and both structure and functions have a hierarchical modular organization. This provided a tree (dendrogram) structure where the leaves of the tree (first-order nodes) associated to voxel measurements represent self-correlation (i.e. singleton) for the functional connectivity matrix and self-connectivity for the structural connectivity matrix. Moving up the tree corresponds to pairing up tree leaves that have strong correlations or connectivity (for functional connectivity and structural connectivity, respectively), thus forming higher-order nodes (or modules). Recursively, these modules are paired until the entire brain is represented by a mother node. It was shown that for about twenty modules maximal similarity between structural modules and functional ones was achieved and precisely these modules define the novel *brain hierarchical atlas* (BHA), which also explains the structure-function mapping in RSNs. However, the dynamical evolution of RSNs over time was not investigated and in fact has never been exploited directly from data, though indirect approaches have been explored. For example, computational brain network models have been proposed in attempt to reveal fundamental principles of RSNs [17, 18, 19], and some of these models predict (for instance) that the human brain during resting state operates at maximum metastability, i.e. in a state of maximal network switching (also observed in EEG [20]). Moreover, it is conjectured that information flow in the brain is guided by ordered sequences of metastable states [21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

The present work takes a step further and considers a direct approach by employing a data-driven computational method to investigate the stable switching attractors hypotheses of RSNs, leveraging a recently developed method, called *recurrence structure analysis* (RSA) [26, 27, 28]. We note however that the proposed framework is not the only method for extracting reproducible time-resolved networks from data as very recently a competing framework based on *dynamic mode decomposition* was proposed [29]. Briefly, our method utilizes advanced theories of dynamical systems and time series analysis, attempting to extract optimal symbolic dynamics from time series observations that display transient and recurrent states (i.e. metastable states). This is achieved by building upon Poincaré’s recurrence theorem, on the one hand, which states that trajectories of a complex dynamical system visit frequently certain regions of their available state space in the course of time and by the so-called *recurrence plot method* (RP), on the other hand, allowing visualization and matrix identification of recurrent states. These are then transformed to symbolic space by introducing *recurrence grammars*, which map state space trajectories onto symbolic sequences [26, 27, 28]. This is carried out by constructing state space partitions that are *maximally metastable*, based on the assumption that the discretized symbolic dynamics should be approximately Markovian. Thus combining the structure-function modules of the novel brain hierarchical atlas with optimized recurrence structure analysis, opens for the first time new avenues to identifying resting state networks with time-dependent recurrent cognitive states.

## 2 METHODS

In this section we review our methods for the data acquisition, preprocessing, structure-function clustering and recurrence structure analysis.

### 2.1 Data acquisition

Data from  $N = 30$  healthy subjects (14 males) with age between 22 and 35 were used in this study. Data were provided by the Human Connectome Project, WU-Minn Consortium (Principal Investigators: David Van Essen and Kamil Ugurbil; 1U54MH091657) funded by the 16 NIH Institutes and Centers that support

the NIH Blueprint for Neuroscience Research; and by the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University. The acquisitions were conducted on the Connectome Skyra, which is a customized Skyra platform with 100 mT/m gradients for diffusion encoding and 42 mT/m gradients for imaging. High resolution T1 anatomical images and functional images were used in this study.

High-resolution anatomical MRI was acquired using a T1-weighted 3D MPRAGE sequence with the following parameters: TR= 2400 ms; TE=2.14 ms; TI=1000 ms; Flip angle=8 deg; FOV =  $224 \times 224$ ; Voxel size = isotropic 0.7 mm; BW =210 Hz/Px; iPAT: 2; Acquisition time 7 minutes and 40 seconds.

To measure changes in blood-oxygenation-level-dependent (BOLD) T2\* signals a gradient-echo EPI sequence was used to acquire 1200 volumes (approximately 15 minutes). The acquisitions were performed with the following parameters: TR=720 ms, TE=33.1 ms; Flip Angle 52; field of view  $208 \times 180$  mm (RO  $\times$  PE); 104 90 (RO  $\times$  PE) matrix; 72 slices with 2.0 mm isotropic voxels; multiband factor 8; echo spacing 0.58 ms; and BW 2290 Hz/Px.

For further information on acquisition parameters and scanning paradigms see the Human connectome documentation<sup>1</sup>

## 2.2 Data preprocessing


Functional data were preprocessed using FSL (FMRIB Software Library, version 5.0) and AFNI, following a procedure similar to previous work [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. First, slice-time correction was applied to the fMRI. Next, each volume was aligned to the middle volume to correct for head movement artifacts. After intensity normalization, we removed the effect of confounding factors: movement time courses, the average cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) signal and the average white matter signal, followed by a bandpass filter between 0.01 and 0.08 Hz. The functional data were normalized to the MNI152 brain template, with a voxel size of  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  mm<sup>3</sup> and spatially smoothed with a 6 mm full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. In addition to head motion correction, we performed scrubbing, which means that all time points with framewise displacement greater than 0.5 were interpolated by a cubic spline [36].

## 2.3 Brain Hierarchical Atlas

Voxel time series were grouped using the brain hierarchical atlas (BHA), recently developed by Diez et al. [4].<sup>2</sup> The use of the BHA guarantees three conditions simultaneously: 1) That the dynamics of voxels belonging to the same module is very similar, 2) that those voxels belonging to the same module are structurally wired by white-matter streamlines; and 3) when varying the level of the hierarchical tree, it provides a multi-scale brain partition, where the highest dendrogram level  $M = 1$  corresponds to all 2514 regions belonging to a single module (coincident with the entire brain), whereas the lowest level  $M = 2514$  corresponds to 2514 isolated modules (all of them composed of only one region). Figure 1 illustrates the functional image preprocessing pipeline.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.humanconnectome.org/>.

<sup>2</sup> Available for download at [http://www.nitrc.org/projects/biocr\\_hcatlas/](http://www.nitrc.org/projects/biocr_hcatlas/). A new Python version, that was developed during Brainhack Global 2017 – Bilbao, can be downloaded at <https://github.com/compneurobilbao/bha>.



**Figure 1.** Functional image preprocessing pipeline. Dual acquisition is needed, high-resolution anatomical images (T1) and functional images at rest. Following state-of-the-art pipeline of neuroimaging preprocessing, time series of the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal were obtained for each region of interest (ROI), defined by a functional atlas of 2514 ROIs. Finally, the time series were averaged using different partitions of the brain hierarchical atlas (BHA). The partition with maximal metastability was the one with  $M = 40$  modules (see Sect. 2.4 for details).



## 2.4 Recurrence structure analysis

The recurrence structure analysis (RSA) exploits the *Poincaré recurrence theorem*, the *recurrence plot* (RP) technique introduced by Eckmann et al. [37] and Markov state modelling to extract symbolic dynamics and recurrent metastable states from time series data.<sup>3</sup>

Consider a discretely sampled trajectory  $X = \{\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^M \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\}$  of duration  $T$  where  $\mathbb{R}^M$  denotes the  $M$ -dimensional phase space of the system. In our case, the states  $\mathbf{x}_i$  are obtained as normalized, voxel-averaged resting state fMRI (rsfMRI BOLD) measurements such that  $M$  is the number of structure-function modules (SFM) from the BHA analysis of Sect. 2.3 [4].

The RP is a binary time-by-time matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  indicating recurrence events,  $R_{ik} = 1$ , when two states  $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^M$  at times  $i > j$  are detected as being recurrent, i.e. state  $\mathbf{x}_j$  falls into a ball of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  centered around state  $\mathbf{x}_i$ :

$$R_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_j \in B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with  $B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}_i) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^M \mid d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) < \varepsilon\}$  and an appropriately chosen metric or distance function  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . Here, we use the cosine distance

$$d_{\cos}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \quad (2)$$

for normalized states,  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\| = 1$ .

According to beim Graben and Hutt [26, 27], the RP (1) can be interpreted as a rewriting grammar, called the *recurrence grammar*, acting on sequences of time indices  $s_i = i$  in the following sense. If the system is recurrent at time points  $i, j$  ( $R_{ij} = 1$ ) and if  $i > j$ , then a grammar rule  $i \rightarrow j$  is defined, replacing the larger time index  $i$  in the sequence  $s$  by its smaller recurrent counterpart  $j$ . Moreover, if the system is recurrent at time points  $i, j, k$  ( $R_{ij} = 1, R_{ik} = 1$ ) and if  $i > j > k$ , two grammar rules  $i \rightarrow k$  and  $j \rightarrow k$  are introduced that replace the two larger indices  $i, j$  in the sequence  $s$  by the smallest one  $k$ . Applying this grammar at least twice to the sequence  $s$  yields a transformed sequence  $s'$  whose indices indicate the distinguished metastable system states.

A metastable state  $S_k$  is then rendered as a cloud of all states from the trajectory  $X$  that have the same index  $k$  in the sequence  $s'$ , that is,

$$S_k = \{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^M \mid s'_i = k\}. \quad (3)$$

The metastable states partition the phase space of the system into mutually disjoint equivalence classes. However, the discretization of the phase space and segmentation of system's trajectories into metastable states depends on a free parameter, the ball size  $\varepsilon$ . Determining the optimal  $\varepsilon$  value is pivotal as it enables to explain the time series observations. Several methods have been proposed to optimise  $\varepsilon$  [26, 27] but a more robust approach is based on Markovian optimization [28]. Specifically, it assumes that the time series can be described by a Markov state model expressed via a transition matrix,  $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$ , which specifies conditional transition probabilities from metastable state  $S_j$  into state  $S_i$ ,

$$p_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \Pr(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \in S_i \mid \mathbf{x}_t \in S_j), \quad (4)$$

<sup>3</sup> A toolbox is freely available at [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317597921\\_RSA\\_Recurrence\\_Structure\\_Analysis\\_Toolbox\\_in\\_MATLAB](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317597921_RSA_Recurrence_Structure_Analysis_Toolbox_in_MATLAB).

where  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is the state at time  $t$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}$  its immediate successor in the given sampling. Moreover, it is assumed that the system spends most time in its respective metastable states and that the transitions from one metastable state into a transient regime and back into (another) metastable state are uniformly distributed (according to a *maximum entropy principle*). These combined assumptions enable the derivation of the following utility function

$$u(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{n+2} \left[ \text{tr } \mathbf{P}(\varepsilon) + h_r(\varepsilon) + h_c(\varepsilon) \right] \quad (5)$$

where  $\text{tr } \mathbf{P}(\varepsilon)$  is the trace of the transition matrix and

$$\begin{aligned} h_r &= -\frac{1}{\log(n-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p'_{0j} \log p'_{0j}, \\ h_c &= -\frac{1}{\log(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p'_{i0} \log p'_{i0}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

are entropies (for the row and column of the transition matrix) with renormalized transition probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{0j} &= \frac{p_{0j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{0j}}, \\ p'_{i0} &= \frac{p_{i0}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{i0}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

An optimal partition is then obtained through

$$\varepsilon^* = \arg \max_{\varepsilon} u(\varepsilon), \quad (8)$$

entailing a *maximally metastable* Markov state model; for more details see [28]. The number of segments  $n(\varepsilon^*)$  characterizes the “complexity” of the transition model comprising one distinguished transient and hence  $n-1$  metastable states [28].

Next, we have to consider ensemble analysis. Instead of looking at a single trajectory  $X$  we are concerned with an ensemble of  $N$  trajectories  $E = \{X_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq N\}$ , which in our case refers to rsfMRI time series from  $N = 30$  individual subjects. As our data are normalized to a unit hypersphere, we are able to compare metastable segments from different subjects. This is achieved by collecting all metastable states  $S_k^{(m)}$  from all individuals  $m$  and calculating their pairwise Hausdorff distances

$$D_{ij} = \max\{\max\{\delta(\mathbf{y}, S_j) \mid \mathbf{y} \in S_i\}, \max\{\delta(\mathbf{y}, S_i) \mid \mathbf{y} \in S_j\}\} \quad (9)$$

according to Hutt and beim Graben [38]. Here,

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}, A) = \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in A\} \quad (10)$$

measures the “distance” of the point  $\mathbf{x}$  from the compact set  $A \subset X$ . Note that the Hausdorff distance of two overlapping compact sets vanishes. Again, we use the cosine distance (2) for our normalized data here. Thresholding the distance matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  with respect to a parameter  $\theta > 0$ , yields another binary matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  as

189 follows

$$Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D_{ij} < \theta \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

190 which induces another recurrence grammar from which a Hausdorff clustering of metastable states is  
 191 obtained; for further details see [38]. The threshold  $\theta$  must be chosen in such a way that the entire ensemble  
 192  $E$  is covered by a minimal set of metastable states.

193 Finally, we have to express the metastable states either in terms of resting state networks (RSN) or  
 194 in terms of anatomical structures (AAL). To that aim, Diez et al. [4] have presented overlap matrices  
 195  $M \in \mathcal{M}(M \times K)$  relating  $M$  structure-function modules to  $K$  nodes in the RSN or AAL representations,  
 196 respectively. Here we consider the matrix  $M$  as a mixing matrix mapping a module state vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^M$   
 197 onto a network state  $y \in \mathbb{R}^K$  through

$$y = M \cdot x. \quad (12)$$

198 For each metastable state  $S_i$ , which is actually given as a spherical distribution of sampling points, we  
 199 select a representative point. Hutt and beim Graben [38] suggested to use the ensemble mean, i.e. the  
 200 barycenter of the distribution. Here, however, we choose the vector

$$x_i^* = \arg \max_{x \in S_i} \|x\|_\infty \quad (13)$$

201 maximizing the maximum norm of the distribution for enhancing the contrast between metastable states.  
 202 We project these particular representatives  $x_i^*$  onto the RSN and AAL representations, according to


$$y_i^* = M \cdot x_i^*, \quad (14)$$

203 with  $M$  either the RSN or AAL mixing matrix, respectively.

### 3 RESULTS


204 We combine the time-independent structure-function and HBA analysis of Diez et al. [4] with the time-  
 205 dependent recurrence structure analysis. We compute the optimal number of metastable states  $n - 1$   
 206 determined by the RSA as a measure of complexity [28] for all subjects and for module numbers ranging  
 207 from 3 to 100 from normalized rsfMRI BOLD signals. Figure 2 shows the results, where the error bars  
 208 indicate one standard deviation of the complexity averaged over all subjects. The RSA stabilizes around  
 209  $M = 40$  metastable states.






**Figure 2.** Segmentation complexities measured as number of metastable states against number of structure-function modules for normalized data using the cosine distance.

210 Carrying out the RSA of an  $M = 40$  dimensional phase space for four representative subjects gives the  
 211 results plotted in Fig. 3. For each plot, the upper panel shows the  $M$  time series of the rsfMRI BOLD  
 212 signal before normalization. The lower panels depict the resulting segmentation into metastable states, i.e.  
 213 the symbolic sequences  $s'$ .




**Figure 3.** Selection of segmented rsfMRI time series. Upper panels: rsfMRI time series averaged over 40 modules, lower panels: symbolic sequences  $s'$  resulting from optimal partitions into metastable states. Selected subjects: (a) #1, (b) #7, (c) #18, (d) #30.

214 The state space partitioning and symbolic segmentation is achieved by optimizing the Markov criterion  
 215 (8). The corresponding utility functions are plotted in Fig. 4 and we observe that for each plot there exists a  
 216 global maximum, establishing an optimal ball size for the symbolic segmentation around  $\varepsilon = 0.05$ .




**Figure 4.** Markov utility functions from averaged rsfMRI time series over 40 modules. Subjects: (a) #1, (b) #7, (c) #18, (d) #30.

217 We next consider the ensemble dynamics from the population of  $N = 30$  subjects by employing the  
 218 Hausdorff clustering (9) and optimally thresholding the distance matrix (11) to obtain a minimal set of  
 219 metastable states that covers the entire ensemble. For the choice  $\theta = 0.8$ , we get a bistable segmentation  
 220 of the resting state dynamics, specifically, into two metastable states and one transient state, which is  
 221 depicted in Fig. 5. Here, yellow and turquoise indicate the two metastable states, while dark blue represents  
 222 transients.



**Figure 5.** Bistable population resting state dynamics as resulting from Hausdorff clustering.

223 In Fig. 6 we present the spatial distributions of both metastable population states, resulting from the  
 224 projection of the most distinctive representatives  $\mathbf{x}^*$  onto the AAL atlas in Fig. 6(a) and with respect to  
 225 the resting state network (RSN) in Fig. 6(b). Finally, Fig. 6(c) displays the same results as brain map  
 226 projections for the first metastable state (plotted in blue) in Figs. 6(a, b), because the second one is largely  
 227 its complement.




**Figure 6.** Spatial projections of metastable brain resting states. (a) Projection onto AAL regions. Notice that just for illustration purposes, although the spatial projection has been performed over all the 45 AAL brain regions, some of the labels have been removed from the x-axis. Brain areas corresponding to maximum projections (written in text) were lingual, calcarine, precuneus, occipital and cingulate cortices. (b) Projection onto RSN regions. Maximum projections occurred for the auditory and medial visual networks. (c) Brain localization of blue attractor with regard to AAL and RSN partitions. Notice that projection strength might have positive or negative values depending on the direction of the basis vectors.


228 Maximal metastability occurred bilaterally at lingual, calcarine, precuneus, occipital and cingulate  
 229 cortices. The projection over the functional networks revealed maximum participation of the auditory and  
 230 medial visual networks.

231 Finally, we assess the robustness of the population analysis by breaking it down to the four selected  
 232 subjects shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Figure 7 displays the AAL projections, whereas Fig. 8 shows the  
 233 corresponding RSN projections.





**Figure 7.** Anatomical AAL projections of the two metastable states obtained from optimizing RSA over 40 modules. Subjects: (a) #1, (b) #7, (c) #18, (d) #30.



**Figure 8.** Resting state network (RSN) projections of the two metastable states obtained from optimizing RSA over 40 modules. Subjects: (a) #1, (b) #7, (c) #18, (d) #30.

Both analyses indicate that these findings for single subjects are consistent with the population results.

## 4 DISCUSSION


We introduced a novel framework to track the spatiotemporal dynamics of resting state fMRI BOLD signals. Specifically, a time dependent functional-structural brain mapping is achieved by combining the structural-functional brain hierarchical atlas (BHA) partitioning with the recurrence structure analysis (RSA). The combined method is applied to resting state fMRI BOLD signals and successfully identifies their corresponding time dependent metastable states, which are finally mapped to the anatomical brain structures and functional networks. Maximum metastability was found anatomically at lingual, calcarine, precuneus, occipital and cingulate cortices, which encompass both primary and high-order visual and auditory networks, together with the precuneus, that forming part of the default mode network is well-known to be one of the major functional hubs of the human brain. Although this is an observation rather than an interpretation, future studies are needed to really understand the brain organization of the metastable circuits at the large-scale.

The overall framework, in particular the time dependent aspect, relies fundamentally on Poincaré's recurrence theorem, which demands two conditions to be met for the underlying system under study. Specifically, if the system (typically an isolated or autonomous system) is volume preserving and has only bounded trajectories then for each open set (in phase space) there exist orbits that intersect the set infinitely often and are hence recurrent. It is critical to be cautious in drawing immediate interpretations of our results, however we will risk a tentative interpretation under the constraints made by the aforementioned

theorem. To begin, we first assume that the brain (and associated processes) can be explained by some suitable complex multi-scale dynamical system. However, under the experimental fMRI condition in which the subjects are at rest, we will further assume that the underlying brain's dynamical system is autonomous (or at least approximately). Moreover, since fMRI BOLD relates to blood oxygenation and indirectly to local energy consumption through brain neural circuits, and thus correlates with neural activity, we assume that the values taken by the brain for its energy consumption are bounded. Finally, energy is not quantized, that is, it can take any value within this bounded domain. These assumptions satisfy the premises of the aforementioned theorem, which ultimately enables us to identifying time dependent recurrent states of resting state networks.

Under this setup, we find convergence towards an optimal segmentation of about 40 metastable states (for normalized data). This convergence may reflect preferred oxygen/energy levels (and switching between these levels) among all possible energy levels consumed across all structure-function brain modules. Thus at rest, it is likely that the energy is equally distributed globally across all networks (i.e. the whole brain), which in feedback entrains locally each module. However, each local module (or a set of few communicating modules) may have high transient use of energy consumption. These preferred energy levels and switching, indirectly reflect time dependent cognitive states mediated by the neural circuits of the resting state networks. To compare across all subjects we consider normalized data and following the Hausdorff clustering we find that across all subjects they share a common transition between two oxygen/energy levels (possibly interpreted as bistability). It is premature yet to draw any hard conclusions, however, we could extrapolate that there are two fundamental dwelling states that could represent a common homeostatic switching process that gateways the remaining energy levels, driving the dynamical transitions of the resting state structure-function network modules.

We subsequently contemplate on the dynamical systems point of view, the mechanistic alternatives that could explain switching between metastable states. Typically, within the brain mapping literature (specifically in computational modeling studies) it is often emphasized that transitions are explained via multistability. However, we would like to argue that again, it is crucial to be cautious since there are a multitude of mechanisms that can equally explain transition between states. For the sake of discussion, without wanting to exhaust all possible scenarios and avoiding modeling neurophysiological processes as it would go beyond the scope of the present manuscript, we showcase three canonical alternative mechanisms (as depicted in Fig. 9). Moreover, we only focus on transitions between two states (to simplify the discussion). However, the proposed mechanisms can be easily extended to account for a larger number of metastable states. Nonetheless, these canonical mechanisms could be part of neural and hemodynamic biophysical models that explain, electrical-fMRI activity, such as those described via the dynamic causal modeling (DCM) framework [39], and/or other macroscopic modeling approaches [40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45].



**Figure 9.** Three possible mathematical mechanisms to model switching dynamics: (a1)-(a3) slow-fast systems with a hysteresis loop; (b1)-(b3) systems with intermingled basins of attraction; (c1)-(c3) systems with a robust heteroclinic cycle. In all parts (left, central, right), the top panel shows a sketch of the phase space; the middle panel shows a time series of the minimal model representing one of the frameworks displaying alternating switches between two metastable states  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ ; the bottom panel shows minimal ODEs for a given framework. All equations are phenomenological but the resulting dynamics can be found in biophysical models of brain activity.

286 The first canonical mechanism shown in Fig. 9(a) is that of multiple-timescale dynamical systems,  
 287 which are ubiquitous in neural modeling [46, 47, 48]. In this example, we consider a planar slow-fast  
 288 system (Fig. 9(a3)) with one slow variable  $x_1$  and one fast variable  $x_2$ , where the separation of timescales  
 289 between these variables is captured by the small timescale parameter  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ . The phase plane  $(x_1, x_2)$   
 290 (Fig. 9(a1)) displays the fast nullcline or so-called *critical manifold* of the system, which consists of  
 291 two horizontal lines and a third line intersecting the other two with a slowly-time-varying angle with  
 292 respect to the vertical direction. This slowly-time-varying angle is arbitrary but could represent slow  
 293 fluctuations inducing varying dwell times along a given attractor. The structure (i.e. fast nullcline) is the  
 294 bifurcation diagram of the *fast subsystem* obtained by freezing the dynamics of the slow variable  $x_1$  (by  
 295 setting  $\varepsilon = 0$ ) and hence considering it as a parameter. In this context, the intersection points between the  
 296 two horizontal components and the third component of the critical manifold correspond to transcritical  
 297 bifurcation points [49]. Therefore, the fast subsystem possesses a hysteresis loop with two stable levels of  
 298 activity, which in the full system ( $\varepsilon > 0$  small) correspond to two metastable states  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  that a given  
 299 trajectory (black curve) will visit recurrently in alternation. The single (respectively double) arrows along  
 300 the trajectory correspond to slow (respectively fast) dynamics. Thus the transition between the slow and

fast dynamics allows metastability. Parameter values for the simulation shown in Fig. 9(a2) are:  $a = 0.3$ ,  $b = -1$ ,  $c = 1$ ,  $\alpha = -2$ ,  $\beta = -0.5$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0.004$ .

A second canonical mechanism that captures switching dynamics between two metastable states is that of a dynamical system with two stable equilibria via so-called *intermingled or riddled basins of attraction* [50]; this scenario is presented in Fig. 9(b). As illustrated in panel Fig. 9(b1) the basins of attraction of the both system's attractors can be arbitrary close to each other and they can even overlap in some region (and projections) of the phase plane of interest. This complex intermingling of basins of attraction enables the trajectory of the system to switch in a complex way between metastable states  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , as shown in Fig. 9(b2). The specific model that we consider is formed by two coupled second-order differential equations with a sinusoidal forcing; see panel Fig. 9(b3). Parameter values for the simulation shown in panel (b2) are:  $A = 1.011$ ,  $\mu = 0.632$ ,  $\alpha = -4$ ,  $p = 0.1$ ,  $q = 0.005$ .

The third and last proposed mechanism shown in panels Fig. 9(c) corresponds to a system possessing a robust *heteroclinic cycle* between two saddle equilibria [22, 51, 27, 38]. In this case, the metastable states are in fact saddles where the unstable manifold of each saddle connects to the other saddle, hence allowing for a stable robust heteroclinic cycle to exist. In this case, the system's trajectory as shown in Fig. 9(c2) displays recurrent switching between the two saddles that correspond to the two metastable states  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . The heteroclinic cycle formed by these two states is stable and attracts trajectories. However, each new passage near one of the states brings the trajectory closer to one underlying saddle, hence passage times increase monotonically. However, fluctuations such as system noise (as is often the case in real systems) can disrupt or counteract these monotonic increase in passage times. The specific model is depicted in Fig. 9(c2), which is a four-dimensional dynamical systems on the 3-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3 := \{r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 1\}$  possessing a robust stable heteroclinic cycle between two equilibria located at  $(0, 0, 0, \pm 1)$ . The two saddles have only their last coordinate different. We add noise in the last equation with a time-dependent amplitude of the Brownian term and the resulting trajectory is depicted in Fig. 9(c2). Parameter values for the switching trajectory shown in panel (c2) are:  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = -0.1$ ,  $a = 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ ,  $b = 0.33$ .

To conclude, there are potentially infinitely many models (and a multitude of dynamical mechanisms) that can equally explain the same fMRI BOLD observables. Thus a fundamental question for future research is what signatures within the data could potentially exclude cases and narrow down the possibilities enabling biophysical and parsimonious models to be derived. Finally, the present manuscript shows that it is feasible to extract temporal information of the resting state networks, however it also opens up novel questions and avenues in brain mapping research.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SR would like to acknowledge Ikerbasque (The Basque Foundation for Science) and moreover, this research is supported by the Basque Government through the BERC 2018-2021 program and by the Spanish State Research Agency through BCAM Severo Ochoa excellence accreditation SEV-2017-0718 and through project RTI2018-093860-B-C21 funded by (AEI/FEDER, UE) and acronym "MathNEURO". JMC acknowledges financial support from Ikerbasque, Ministerio Economia, Industria y Competitividad (Spain) and FEDER (grant DPI2016-79874-R) and the Department of Economical Development and Infrastructure of the Basque Country (Elkartek Program, KK-2018/00032). Finally, PbG acknowledges BCAM's hospitality during a visiting fellowship in fall 2017.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Park HJ, Friston K. Structural and functional brain networks: From connections to cognition. *Science* **342** (2013) 1238411.
- [2] Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL. A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.* **98** (2001) 676.
- [3] Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Corbetta M, Van Essen DC, Raichle ME. The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.* **102** (2005) 9673.
- [4] Diez I, Bonifazi P, Escudero I, Mateos B, Muñoz MA, Stramaglia S, et al. A novel brain partition highlights the modular skeleton shared by structure and function. *Scientific Reports* **5** (2015) 10532.
- [5] Smitha KA, Akhil Raja K, Arun KM, Rajesh PG, Thomas B, Kapilamoorthy TR, et al. Resting state fMRI: A review on methods in resting state connectivity analysis and resting state networks. *The Neuroradiology Journal* **30** (2017) 305–317.
- [6] Alonso Montes C, Diez I, Remaki L, Escudero I, Mateos B, Rosseel Y, et al. Lagged and instantaneous dynamical influences related to brain structural connectivity. *Frontiers in Psychology* **6** (2015) 1024.
- [7] Bell AJ, Sejnowski TJ. The "independent components" of natural scenes are edge filters. *Vision Research* **37** (1997) 3327–3338.
- [8] Krishnan A, Williams LJ, McIntosh AR, Abdi H. Partial least squares (pls) methods for neuroimaging: A tutorial and review. *NeuroImage* **56** (2011) 455–475.
- [9] J Bell A, Sejnowski T. An information-maximization approach to blind separation and blind deconvolution. *Neural Computation* **7** (1995) 1129–59.
- [10] Beckmann CF, Smith SM. Tensorial extensions of independent component analysis for multisubject fMRI analysis. *NeuroImage* **25** (2005) 294–311.
- [11] Vergun S, Gaggl W, Nair VA, Suhonen JI, Birn RM, Ahmed AS, et al. Classification and extraction of resting state networks using healthy and epilepsy fMRI data. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **10** (2016) 440; 440–440. doi:10.3389/fnins.2016.00440.
- [12] Beckmann CF, DeLuca M, Devlin JT, Smith SM. Investigations into resting-state connectivity using independent component analysis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London* **B360** (2005) 1001–1013.
- [13] Vidaurre D, Smith SM, Woolrich MW. Brain network dynamics are hierarchically organised in time. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.* (2017).
- [14] Shine JM, Breakspear M, Bell P, Martens KE, Shine R, Koyejo O, et al. The low dimensional dynamic and integrative core of cognition in the human brain. *bioRxiv* [preprint], doi: 10.1101/266635, (2018).
- [15] Iraj A, DeRamus T, Lewis N, Yaesoubi M, Stephen JM, Erhardt E, et al. The spatial connectome reveals a dynamic interplay between functional segregation and integration. *bioRxiv* [preprint], doi: 10.1101/427450, (2018).
- [16] Damoiseaux JS, Rombouts SARB, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, Stam CJ, Smith SM, et al. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.* **103** (2006) 13848–13853.
- [17] Hansen ECA, Battaglia D, Spiegler A, Deco G, Jirsa VK. Functional connectivity dynamics: Modeling the switching behavior of the resting state. *NeuroImage* **105** (2015) 525–535.
- [18] Deco G, Kringelbach ML, Jirsa VK, Ritter P. The dynamics of resting fluctuations in the brain: metastability and its dynamical cortical core. *Scientific Reports* **7** (2017) 3095.
- [19] Surampudi SG, Misra J, Deco G, Bapi RS, Sharma A, Roy D. Resting state dynamics meets anatomical structure: Temporal multiple kernel learning (tMKL) model. *NeuroImage* **184** (2019) 609 – 620.

- 384 [20] Roberts JA, Gollo LL, Abeysuriya RG, Roberts G, Mitchell PB, Woolrich MW, et al. Metastable brain  
385 waves. *Nature Communications* **10** (2019) 1056.
- 386 [21] Friston KJ. Transients, metastability, and neuronal dynamics. *NeuroImage* **5** (1997) 164 – 171.
- 387 [22] Rabinovich MI, Huerta R, Varona P, Afraimovich VS. Transient cognitive dynamics, metastability,  
388 and decision making. *PLoS Computational Biology* **4** (2008) e1000072.
- 389 [23] Kelso JAS. Multistability and metastability: understanding dynamic coordination in the brain.  
390 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London* **B367** (2012) 906 – 918.
- 391 [24] Tognoli E, Kelso J. The metastable brain. *Neuron* **81** (2014) 35 – 48.
- 392 [25] Fingelkurts AA, Fingelkurts AA. Information flow in the brain: Ordered sequences of metastable  
393 states. *Information* **8** (2017) 22.
- 394 [26] beim Graben P, Hutt A. Detecting recurrence domains of dynamical systems by symbolic dynamics.  
395 *Physical Review Letters* **110** (2013) 154101.
- 396 [27] beim Graben P, Hutt A. Detecting event-related recurrences by symbolic analysis: Applications to  
397 human language processing. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London* **A373** (2015)  
398 20140089.
- 399 [28] beim Graben P, Sellers KK, Fröhlich F, Hutt A. Optimal estimation of recurrence structures from time  
400 series. *EPL* **114** (2016) 38003.
- 401 [29] Kunert-Graf JM, Eschenburg KM, Galas DJ, Kutz JN, Rane SD, Brunton BW. Extracting reproducible  
402 time-resolved resting state networks using dynamic mode decomposition. *bioRxiv* [preprint], doi:  
403 10.1101/343061, (2018).
- 404 [30] Rasero J, Diez I, Cortes JM, Marinazzo D, Stramaglia S. Connectome sorting by consensus clustering  
405 increases separability in group neuroimaging studies. *Network Neuroscience* **3** (2019) 325–343.
- 406 [31] Camino-Pontes B, Diez I, Jimenez-Marin A, Rasero J, Erramuzpe A, Bonifazi P, et al. Interaction  
407 information along lifespan of the resting brain dynamics reveals a major redundant role of the default  
408 mode network. *Entropy* **20** (2018) 742.
- 409 [32] Bonifazi P, Erramuzpe A, Diez I, Gabilondo I, Boisgontier M, Pauwels L, et al. Structure-function  
410 multi-scale connectomics reveals a major role of the fronto-striato-thalamic circuit in brain aging.  
411 *Human Brain Mapping* **39** (2018) 4663–4677.
- 412 [33] Rasero J, Pellicoro M, Angelini L, Cortes JM, Marinazzo D, Stramaglia S. Consensus clustering  
413 approach to group brain connectivity matrices. *Network Neuroscience* **1** (2017) 242–253.
- 414 [34] Stramaglia S, Pellicoro M, Angelini L, Amico E, Aerts H, Cortes J, et al. Ising model with  
415 conserved magnetization on the human connectome: Implications on the relation structure-function in  
416 wakefulness and anesthesia. *Chaos* **27** (2017) 047407.
- 417 [35] Diez I, Drikkoningen D, Stramaglia S, Bonifazi P, Marinazzo D, Gooijers J, et al. Enhanced pre-frontal  
418 functional-structural networks to support postural control deficits after traumatic brain injury in a  
419 pediatric population. *Network Neuroscience* **1** (2017) 116–142.
- 420 [36] Yan C, Cheung B, Kelly C, Colcombe S, Craddock R, Di Martino A, et al. A comprehensive  
421 assessment of regional variation in the impact of head micromovements on functional connectomics.  
422 *NeuroImage* **76** (2013) 183–201.
- 423 [37] Eckmann JP, Kamphorst SO, Ruelle D. Recurrence plots of dynamical systems. *Europhysics Letters* **4**  
424 (1987) 973 – 977.
- 425 [38] Hutt A, beim Graben P. Sequences by metastable attractors: interweaving dynamical systems and  
426 experimental data. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics* **3** (2017) 11.
- 427 [39] Friston KJ, Mechelli A, Turner R, Price CJ. Nonlinear responses in fMRI: the Balloon model, Volterra  
428 kernels, and other hemodynamics. *NeuroImage* **12** (2000) 466–477.

- 429 [40] Breakspear M, Roberts JA, Terry JR, Rodrigues S, Mahant N, Robinson PA. A unifying explanation  
430 of primary generalized seizures through nonlinear brain modeling and bifurcation analysis. *Cerebral*  
431 *Cortex* **16** (2005) 1296–1313.
- 432 [41] Chizhov AV, Rodrigues S, Terry JR. A comparative analysis of a firing-rate model and a conductance-  
433 based neural population model. *Phys. Lett. A* **369** (2007) 31–36.
- 434 [42] beim Graben P, Rodrigues S. A biophysical observation model for field potentials of networks of  
435 leaky integrate-and-fire neurons. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* **6** (2013) 100.
- 436 [43] Marten F, Rodrigues S, Benjamin O, Richardson MP, Terry JR. Onset of polyspike complexes  
437 in a mean-field model of human electroencephalography and its application to absence epilepsy.  
438 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London* **367** (2009) 1145–1161.
- 439 [44] Rodrigues S, Gonçalves J, Terry JR. Existence and stability of limit cycles in a macroscopic neuronal  
440 population model. *Physica D* **233** (2007) 39–65.
- 441 [45] Rodrigues S, Barton D, Szalai R, Benjamin O, Richardson MP, Terry JR. Transitions to spike-  
442 wave oscillations and epileptic dynamics in a human cortico-thalamic mean-field model. *Journal of*  
443 *Computational Neuroscience* **27** (2009) 507–526.
- 444 [46] Desroches M, Guckenheimer J, Krauskopf B, Kuehn C, Osinga HM, Wechselberger M. Mixed-mode  
445 oscillations with multiple time scales. *Siam Review* **54** (2012) 211–288.
- 446 [47] Desroches M, Krupa M, Rodrigues S. Inflection, canards and excitability threshold in neuronal models.  
447 *Journal of Mathematical Biology* **67** (2013) 989–1017.
- 448 [48] Desroches M, Krupa M, Rodrigues S. Spike-adding in parabolic bursters: The role of folded-saddle  
449 canards. *Physica D* **331** (2016) 58–70.
- 450 [49] Rodrigues S, Desroches M, Krupa M, Cortes JM, Sejnowski TJ, Ali AB. Time-coded neurotransmitter  
451 release at excitatory and inhibitory synapses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*  
452 *U.S.A.* **113** (2016) E1108–E1115.
- 453 [50] Ding M, Yang W. Observation of intermingled basins in coupled oscillators exhibiting synchronized  
454 chaos. *Physical Reviews E* **54** (1996) 2489.
- 455 [51] Rodrigues AAP, Labouriau IS. Spiralling dynamics near heteroclinic networks. *Physica D* **268** (2014)  
456 34–49.
- 457 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any  
458 commercial or financial relationship that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.